

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,061	09/12/2003	Christopher J. Horvath	1855.1069-006 1933 EXAMINER	
21005	7590 07/03/20	06		
	N, BROOK, SMITE	GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
530 VIRGII			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			THE EXTROMOLE
CONCORD	001100110, Hall 01712-9133		1644 DATE MAILED: 07/03/200	··· .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,061	HORVATH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phillip Gambel	1644			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	arch 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-70</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 49-70 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	·	· ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	•	3			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

 Applicant's amendment, filed 3/31/04,has been entered. Claims 1-48 have been canceled. Claims 49-70 have been added.

Claims 49-70 are pending.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
  - I. Claims 49-62, 66-67 and 70, drawn to methods of inhibiting stenosis with anti-CD18 antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1.
  - II. Claims 64-65, drawn to kits comprising anti-CD18 antibodies, classified in Class 435, subclass 410.
  - III. Claims 68-69, drawn to methods or assessing the presence of leukocytes via anti-CD18 antibodies, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- 3. Inventions II and I/III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)).

In the instant case, the anti-CD18 antibody products as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as affinity purification procedures, bioassays or detection assays as well as therapeutic methods.

- 4. Inventions I and III are different methods of use. These inventions require different ingredients, process steps and endpoints in the use of anti-CD18 antibodies. Therefore they are patentably distinct.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-III is not required for any other group from Groups I-III and Groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,061

Art Unit: 1644

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Page 3

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,061

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.

Page 4

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phillip Gambel, Ph.D., J.D.

PHUPGINDE

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

June 26, 2006